Effect of ubicomp systems in informal communication within families;

 Effect of ubicomp systems in privacy within families;

 Effect of ubicomp systems in tradicional roles within families.

 All depends on who controls, who has acess and who is aware of which data is being colected.

Awareness is really important here.

"...if people had to adopt ubicomp systems.
 Exclusion would occur due to age, ability, disability, socio-economic status."

Shift of roles and power within families.

 "They fearded that this caring role may be taken from them (parents) and that ubicomp systems might lead individuals to act in a sterele way."

 What information do ubicomp systems make available and to who?

 "Younger members of a family (seldom seen in the ubicomp world) are typically active participants in the weekly shopping task, and are given their own responsibilities or activities."

 Given that children are a huge publicity target, we probably don't want them to have a big role on shopping activities...

- "Participans also reflect upon their own motivation to use ubicomp, acknowledging that they may come to trust systems if the benefits were tangible or convenient"
- More functionality and benefits -> People trust more?
- They should be willing to give up more, but trust is not required...

 "In other words, participants felt that the human capacity for capriciousness should be honoured..."

 How to do this without having to set profiles, permissions...?

Buildings and domestic ubicomp

Plumbing -> water
 Wiring -> electricity
 Chips -> ubicomp

Taps, showers, plugs and switchs -> signs

What can we use to sign ubicomp sensing areas?

At Home with Ubiquitous Computing: Seven Challenges

W. Keith Edwards and Rebecca E. Grinter 2001

The "Accidental" Smart Home

Researchers often build test environments from the bottom up

For the "average Joe," technology adoption is piecemeal

How do we reconcile these two approaches?

The "Accidental" Smart Home

How will it feel to "debug" your home? (more on this in #3)

Challenge: help homeowners understand their accidentally smart homes

| Impromptu | Interoperability

The ability of independent devices to make interconnections "out of the box"

Implicit in much of the ubiquitous computing literature

"Fabric of complimentary functionality" vs. "islands of functionality



Impromptu Interoperability



"...if the lack of ability or interest in home 'administration' chores as mundane as **plumbing**, **electrical wiring**, or **appliance repair** is any indication, there will effectively be no systems administrator in the smart home.'

Appliance Model vs. Utility Model

Appliance Model vs. Utility Model

- Each device embodies a single function
- Is this scalable?

Appliance Model vs. Utility Model

- The "intelligence" resides within the network and the devices act as an interface
- Example: the telephone network

Appliance Model vs. Utility Model

Authors: Regardless, configuration is necessarily the responsibility of the owner

Mike: Not necessarily...





New technology can disrupt home life

Two possible consequences:

- 1. home practices change
- 2. the device usage changes (appropriation)

The developer must be "in tune" with the subtleties of home life.



Social Implications of Aware Home Technologies

(taken for granted)



Social Implications of Aware Home Technologies

Labor "Saving"

- While technology seems to save us work at first glance, it is possible that the work is merely being "shifted" somewhere else
- If a product is in demand, what is the designer's role in responding to this possibility?



Social Implications of Aware Home Technologies

Good Parenting

- New technologies often spark debate over acceptable parenting practices
- Examples: television watching, cell phone use



How should a developer respond to these issues?

"Implications", "Aspects", "Consequences"

Are these really challenges?

Reliability

Four reasons why PCs are not as reliable as appliances:

- 1. Differences in development culture
- 2. Differences in technological approaches
- 3. Differences in expectations of the market
- 4. Differences in regulation

Reliability

Customizable functionality.



Inference in the Presence of Ambiguity

"Phenomenological" problems—do sensors reflect reality, or merely the state of the sensors?

(Context awareness, anyone?)



Three requirements for predictability:

- 1. Knowledge of how the system detects or infers a condition.
- 2. Knowledge of how the system will respond to said condition
- 3. Ability to override the system's response